UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,719	02/08/2006	John Dan Mabry	PU030251	3991	
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ Joseph J. Laks				EXAMINER	
Thomson Licen		WONG, ALBERT KANG			
PO Box 5312	Way, Patent Operations		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON, 1	PRINCETON, NJ 08543			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/567,719	MABRY, JOHN DAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALBERT K. WONG	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2006</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the left of the left	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objectented or b)⊡ objectented drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/567,719 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

1. This Office action is in response to the Request for Continuing Examination (RCE) filed

May 30, 2008. Claims 1-11 are pending. The objections and rejections to the claims have been

withdrawn in view of the amendment and remarks.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hayes et al (6,223,348).

Regarding claim 1, Figure 1 shows the claimed remote control with a plurality of keypads arranged in groupings based on functionality. The navigation keys have a pie shape; the number entry keys have a number shape' the device select keys have a round shape. These groups are merely exemplary and should not be construed to constitute the only groups shown. The claimed grouping of navigation keys that are centrally located are shown as the select keys.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,719 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 2, Figure 1 shows at least groupings for navigation keys, a grouping for number keys, and a group for a power key.

Regarding claim 3, see spacing between numbers keys and volume keys.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, see shape of number keys and volume keys.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayes et al.

Regarding claim 6, this claim is essentially the same as claim 1 with the inclusion of at least one remotely controllable appliance. While, Hayes does not show the remotely controlled appliance, it would have been obvious to include such an item in a system since that is the purpose of the remote control unit. The items are designed to have utility when used together.

Regarding claims 7-10, these limitations have been addressed in prior claims.

Regarding claim 11, see keys around item 11 in Figure 1.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Hayes patent (7,079,113) and the Weber patent (6,803,874) are further examples of various key shaped grouped according to function in a remote control.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALBERT K. WONG whose telephone number is (571)272-3057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,719 Page 4

Art Unit: 2612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian A. Zimmerman can be reached on 571-272-3059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Albert K Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612

July 25, 2008